Year : 2016 Volume : 3 Issue Number : 1 Doi Number : 10.5455/JNBS.1449860617

Article history: Received 11 December 2015 Received in revised form 26 February 2016 Accepted 14 March 2016

RESECTION OF DEEP BRAIN STEM LESION: EVOLUTION OF MODERN SURGICAL TECHNIQUES

DERİN BEYİN SAPI LEZYONUNUN ÇIKARILMASI: MODERN AMELİYET TEKNİKLERİNİN GELİŞİMİ

Salman Abbasi Fard¹, Nimer Adeeb¹, Mona Rezaei¹, Babak Kateb², Martin M. Mortazavi^{*3}

Abstract

Deep brain stem lesions have previously been considered unresectable. With the development of tailored skull base approaches, detailed knowledge of topographical anatomy, utilization of intra-operative mapping, identification of safe entry zones, extensive arachnoid dissection, cautious handling of neurovascular structures, modern surgical techniques with minimal compression of brain stem and retractor-less surgery, the resection of these previously unresectable lesions, has become possible. Herewithin, an overall review is provided and illustrative cases are presented with detailed discussion of the technical perspective of each approach and resection.

Keywords: Brain Stem, Technical Note, Brain Lesion, Surgical Resection

Özet

Derin beyin sapı lezyonlarının daha önceden cerrahi müdahaleyle çıkartılmasının mümkün olmadığı düşünülüyordu. Kişiye göre özelleştirilmiş kafa iskeleti yaklaşımlarının gelişimiyle, detaylı topografik anotomi bilgisiyle, intraoperatif haritalamanın kullanılmasıyla, güvenli giriş alanlarının belirlenmesiyle, gelişmiş araknoid teşhisiyle, nörovasküler yapıların dikkatli müdahalesiyle, minimal beyin sapı kompresyonu ve daha az rektartör kullanılan ameliyatlarda kullanılan modern cerrahi tekniklerle birlikte, daha önceden cerrahi müdahale ile çıkartılması mümkün olmayan lezyonların çıkartılması mümkün hale gelmiştir. Sonuç olarak, bu çalışmada genel bir bakış ele alınmış ve her yaklaşımın ve müdahalenin teknik perspektifi detaylı bir şekilde ele alınarak örnekleyen vakalar ortaya konulmuştur.

Anahtar Kelimeler: beyin sapı, teknik not, beyin lezyonu, cerrahi rezeksiyon

¹California Neurosurgical Institute, 333 South Moorpark Road, Thousand Oaks, CA, 91361 USA.

²Society of Brain Mapping and Therapeutics, 8159 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 200, West Hollywood, CA 90046 USA.

^{*&}lt;sup>3</sup>Corresponding Author: California Neurosurgical Institute, 333 South Moorpark Road, Thousand Oaks, CA, 91361 USA E-mail: m_mortazavi@hotmail.com Phone: +1 - (805) 795-7656

1.Introduction

Deep intra-axial brain stem lesions, had long been considered unresectable due to high morbidity and mortality risk (Bailey P, 1939; Matson DD, 1969).

Tumors and vascular malformations such as cavernous malformations are among these lesions (Cantore G, 1999).

Brain stem houses neurons and nerve tracts that control vital functions such as breathing, blood pressure and heart rate control, swallowing and the ability to make sounds, as well as the ability for movement and sensation of the face, mouth, tongue, body and extremities.

Lesions within the brain stem therefore, affect some of these vital functions. As the brain stem, at its largest diameter is about 4 cm, one can understand how tightly these neurons and tracts are impacted together. Any growing lesion would therefore, not only disturb these vital functions, but also, the very approach for resecting these lesions, would put these normal vital structures into danger.

In the beginning of the 1970's, some neurosurgeons started reporting data on surgical resection of these, with less grave outcome (Lassiter KR, 1971). This change of attitude came along with research outlining better understanding of the micro anatomy of the brain stem, development of modern neuro-monitoring techniques for mapping of these eloquent brain structures, and further development of micro neurosurgery. Development of better microscopes with better illumination was also crucial.

2. Technical Report

As the brain stem houses these very important functions, it is protected well within the bony skull base. This fact led to development of further skull base approaches allowing the surgeon to reach the brain stem.

The brain is compacted within the thin arachnoid membrane.

The vessels of the brain, as well as important cranial nerves are either within the folds of this arachnoid membrane; the so called arachnoid cisterns, or under this membrane; the so-called subarachnoid space. Understanding the micro-anatomy of these structures and the skill set needed to dissection and opening of these arachnoid membranes, will allow the brain to relax (Ono M, 1984; Lü J, 2005; Adeeb N, 2013; Párraga RG, 2015). Additional diversion of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) though ventriculostomy or lumbar drain will give further brain relaxation.

Once the skull base approach is performed, meaning adequate bone of the skull has been resected, the dura mater is then opened and the subarachnoid space and cisterns are widely dissected. At this point, the neurosurgeon can reach deep structures by dissecting in between normal folds of the brain without injuring the brain structures (Párraga RG, 2015). Relaxation of the brain will not necessitate use of retractors and therefore a retractor-less surgery can be performed with no pressure on the brain.

This dissection is especially sensitive at the brain stem. In order to enter the brain stem, some safer entry zones exist, through which, the risk of morbidity is less than other areas. Although these areas are called 'safe entry zones'. in reality, there is no complete 'safe' entry zone. However, these entry zones are 'safer' in relation to entering brain stem at other locations (Brown AP, 1996; Mai JC, 2013; Cavalcanti DD, 2015).

Beside good knowledge of the topographic anatomy of these safe entry zones, the development of mapping has become an important tool.

During mapping, certain neurological functions of interest for the regions involved, are continuously monitored during the surgery and any change is being observed. Once the dissection to the area of interest is performed, the brain stem is stimulated with low current, in order to delineate where the most important functions reside. Safe entry zones, usually does not show any activity on mapping upon electrical stimulation. The result of the mapping, along with knowledge of the surgical anatomy of the brain stem, allows surgeon to enter the brain stem (Morota N, 1995).

Entrance to the brain stem follows the natural direction of the tracts, meaning, entrance needs to be done perpendicular to the tracts. These tracts have a rostral to caudal direction, so that the tracts are rather cautiously 'opened' away from each other, than cut. This maneuver continues until the lesion is reached (Brown AP, 1996; Mai JC, 2013; Cavalcanti DD, 2015).

At this point, the surgeon cautiously starts to make an interface between the brain stem and the lesion. Obviously, some lesions, such has high-grade gliomas, are spread within the brain and a good interface can not be achieved. On the other hand, lesions such as cavernous malformations, with a somehow identifiable interface, can with patience, safe and stable technique, be dissected off of the brain stem. Often, these vascular malformations, have previously caused multiple bleedings. Once the lesion is entered, the mere entrance of the some lesions such as cavernous malformations, allows some remaining blood or blood products to exit the lesion and relax the brain stem off of the compression caused by previous bleedings (Mai JC, 2013; Abla AA, 2014).

As the dissection between the brain stem and the lesion continues, the brain stem side of the interface, is covered by micro-cottonoids and the lesions is dissected away from the brain stem into a central cavity. The dissection continues around the lesion. Once the whole lesion is dissected off the brain stem, it can be resected.

Cavernous malformations are associated with deep venous anomalies (DVA) in about 50% of the cases. These DVA's, although anomalous, drain venous blood from normal brain stem and need to be preserved at any cost, although often closely attached to the cavernous malformation (Garcia RM, 2015).

Herewithin, two illustrative cases, were presented; one in the upper brain stem (Midbrain, Mesencephalon) and one in the mid brain stem (Pons). The mesencephalic lesion is approached through a subtemporal craniotomy. The pontine lesion is approached through a Telovelar approach via a suboccipital craniectomy.

3.Conclusion

With the combination of good understanding of the topographical anatomy of the brain stem, intraoperative mapping, CSF-diversion, and modern retractorless neurosurgical techniques, previously unresectable lesions, can now be resected with reasonable morbidity.

References

Abla AA CA, Lawton ML. (2014). Resection of pontine cavernous malformation through the pontomedullary sulcus. Neurosurg Focus 36.

Adeeb N DA, Griessenauer CJ, Mortazavi MM, Watanabe K, Loukas M, Tubbs RS, Cohen-Gadol AA. (2013). The intracranial arachnoid mater : a comprehensive review of its history, anatomy, imaging, and pathology. Childs Nerv Syst 29:17-33.

Bailey P BD, Bucy PC. (1939). Intracranial Tumors of Infancy and and Childhood. . Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Brown AP TB, Spetzler RF. (1996). The two-point method: Evaluating brainstem lesions. Barrow Quarterly 12:20–24.

Cantore G MP, Santoro A. (1999). Cavernous angiomas of the brain stem. Intra-axial anatomical pitfalls and surgical strategies. Surg Neurol 52:84-94.

Cavalcanti DD PM, M. Kalani YS, Spetzler RF. (2015). Microsurgical anatomy of safe entry zones to the brainstem. J Neurosurg.

Garcia RM IM, Lawton MT. (2015). Brainstem cavernous malformations: surgical results in 104 patients and a proposed grading system to predict neurological outcomes. Neurosurgery 76:265-277; discussion 277-268.

Lassiter KR AEJ, Davis CH Jr, Kelly DL Jr. (1971). Surgical treatment of brain stem gliomas. J Neurosurg 34:719–725.

Lü J ZX. (2005). Microsurgical anatomy of the interpeduncular cistern and related arachnoid membranes. J Neurosurg 103:337-341.

Mai JC RD, Kim LJ, Sekhar LN. (2013). Surgical resection of cavernous malformations of the brainstem: evolution of a minimally invasive technique. World Neurosurg 79:691-703.

Matson DD IF. (1969). Neurosurgery of Infancy and Childhood. Springfield, IL: Thomas ed 2.

Morota N DV, Epstein FJ, Kofler M, Abbott R, Lee M, Ruskin K. (1995). Brain stem mapping: neurophysiological localization of

motor nuclei on the floor of the fourth ventricle. Neurosurgery 37:922-929; discussion 929-930.

Ono M OM, Rhoton AL Jr, Barry M. (1984). Microsurgical anatomy of the region of the tentorial incisura. J Neurosurg 60:365–399.

Párraga RG PL, Alves RV, Ribas GC, Türe U, de Oliveira E. (2015) Microsurgical anatomy and internal architecture of the brainstem in 3D images: surgical considerations. J Neurosurg 1-19.